AETHOS CONSULTING GROUP

THE 2013 US GAMING INDUSTRY BOARD SURVEY

| RANK | COMPANY | SIZE & MAKEUP | COMMITTEE STRUCTURE | RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS | EVALUATION & COMMUNICATION | COMPENSATION | POINTS |
|------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--------|
| 1 | MGM Resorts | 14 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 42 |
| 2 | Pinnacle Entertainment | 13 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 39 |
| 3 | Ameristar Casinos | 7 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 35 |
| 4 | Multimedia Games | 12 | 10 | | 5 | 7 | 34 |
| =5 | MTR Gaming | 9 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 33 |
| =5 | Nevada Gold & Casinos | 9 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 33 |
| =7 | Gaming Partners International | 9 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 32 |
| =7 | International Gaming Technology | 10 | 10 | | 4 | 8 | 32 |
| =7 | Scientific Games Corporation | 11 | 8 | | 4 | 9 | 32 |
| =7 | Bally Technologies | 4 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 32 |
| 11 | Las Vegas Sands Corp | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 31 |
| =12 | Carnival | 7 | 8 | | 5 | 9 | 29 |
| =12 | Daktronics | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 29 |
| =14 | Boyd Gaming | 7 | 8 | | 4 | 9 | 28 |
| =14 | Empire Resorts | 9 | 10 | | 3 | 6 | 28 |
| =14 | Full House Resorts | 6 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 28 |
| 17 | Penn National | 5 | 8 | | 4 | 10 | 27 |
| =18 | Wynn Resorts | 4 | 9 | | 5 | 8 | 26 |
| =18 | Churchill Downs | 9 | 6 | | 4 | 7 | 26 |
| =20 | Global Cash Access Holdings | 7 | 7 | | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| =20 | Isle of Capri | 6 | 8 | | 4 | 7 | 25 |
| =20 | Lakes Entertainment | 8 | 7 | | 4 | 6 | 25 |
| 23 | Canterbury Park | 7 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 23 |
| 24 | Century Casinos | 6 | 6 | | 5 | 5 | 22 |
| 25 | Entertainment Gaming Asia | 8 | 6 | | 2 | 4 | 20 |
| 26 | Dover Downs Entertainment | 5 | 5 | | 3 | 4 | 17 |

AETHOS CONSULTING GROUP

ALLOCATION OF POINTS

SIZE & MAKEUP

Board size

5,7,9,11 = 4pt 1,3,13,15 = 2pt 2,4,6,8,10,12,14 = 1pt

Head of board

CEO and Chairman separate and Chairman is outsider - 2 pt CEO and Chairman separate and Chairman is insider - 1 pt (CEO = Chm) = 0 pt

Ratio (insider:outsider)

If insider to outsider ratio is less than 25%, you get three points
If insider to outsider ratio is 25% - 33.3%, you get one point
If insider to outsider ratio is greater than or equal to 33.3%, you get zero

Board terms

Yearly = 1 pt Staggered = 0 pt

Diversity - total of 3 points

BOD has diversity policy = 1 pt BOD articulates how the policy is implemented = 1 pt Gender or Racial diversity represented on BOD = 1 pt

COMMITTEE STRUCTURES AND EFFECTIVENESS

FOR EACH COMMITTEE (AUDIT, GOVERNANCE, COMP, NOMINATING)

If committee, but does not meet, 0
If committee, meet 1-3 times a year, 1
If committee, meet 4 or more times a year, 2

If any committee has even one insider, 0, 1 If no executive committee, 1, 0

Related Party Transactions

If none present, 5 points; 1-4 points if present, depending on degree

Evaluation & Communication

Articulation of Self-Evaluation Methods & Ease of Shareholder Communication with Board Self-evaluation: the entire BOD goes through an annual self-evaluation process as well as all sub committees go through self-evaluation at least annually = 3 pts

Transparency in ability of shareholders to communicate with BOD; BOD has access to key leadership = 2 pts

Best practice = 5 points

AETHOS CONSULTING GROUP

COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY

Director Compensation

Retainer = 1 pt

Committee/Chariman Fee = 1 pt

Stock ownership requirement =3 pts (stock grants but no specific ownership requirement = 1 pt)

Cash only = 1 pt

No compensation = 0 pt

Presence of unreasonable perquisites, subtrack 1 pt from score

Executive compensation

Articulation of comp philosophy = 1 pt

Incentive metrics = 1 pt

Clawbacks/gross-ups/perquisites vis a vis risk (deferred compensation plan); risk provision in compensation = 1 pt

Say on pay conducted advisory vote and stated results = 1 pt

Stock ownership guidelines - appropriate mix = 1 pt